

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/599,837	08/16/2007	Ferdinand Koeckerling	72386	5339	
23872 MCGLEW & 7	7590 04/25/201 FUTTLE PC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 9227 SCARBOROUGH STATION SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510-9227			MASHACK, MARK F		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ocimbonoe	,011,111 10510 3#27		3773		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/25/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/599,837	KOECKERLING ET AL.	KOECKERLING ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
MARK MASHACK	3773			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication

closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
 - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 20 April 2011.
2a)	This action is FINAL.	2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1.9-13 and 16-22 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,9-13 and 16-22</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.				
plication Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				

Aρ

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)) accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that a	ny objectio	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:

1.□	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Bule 17.2(a))

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Eraftsperson's Patent Drawing Seview (PTO-942)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	 Notice of Informal Patent Application 	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date .	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/599,837 Page 2

Art Unit: 3773

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to a communication dated 4/20/2011. Claims 1, 9-13, 16-22 are pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/20/2011 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,837

Art Unit: 3773

Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 1, 9-10, 16-22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over
 Trabucco (US 6,166,286) in view of Zotti et al. ("Zotti" US 2003/0171823) and Kurtz et al. ("Kurtz" US 3,286,713).

Trabucco discloses of an annular mesh 20 comprising a central opening 22b and an access slit 24 interrupting an annular path of said first annular mesh layer (Fig 1C). Trabucco does not disclose of a second layer.

However, **Zotti** teaches of a two dimensional implant comprising: a first annular mesh layer comprising a central opening **2'** and an access slit **3'** extending through said central opening (Fig 5 and Paragraph 32); and a second congruent mesh layer comprising a second annular opening **2''** and a second annular mesh layer access slit **3''** (Paragraph 32), the access slit of the first and second mesh layer are offset by an angle of 180 degrees (Paragraph 56) and the central opening of each or aligned (Fig 1), wherein the first and second annular mesh layers are joined via connection points being formed in a form of seamed points or bonded points (Paragraphs 41-42).

Additionally, **Kurtz** teaches of a similar device comprising two layers with slits being offset by an angle of 180 degrees (Fig 1) and forming the layers of identical designs (Col 2, Lines 14-17).

Given the teachings of **Zotti** and **Kurtz**, it would have been obvious to provide the mesh of **Trabucco** with the second mesh layer with an offset slit in order to prevent Application/Control Number: 10/599,837

Art Unit: 3773

the spermatic cord from slipping out of the slit. It also would have been obvious to make the mesh from two identical layers in order to simplify the production.

Regarding Claim 9, Zotti teaches of the implant comprising connection points along the inner and outer edges (Paragraphs 41-42). Regarding Claim 16, 18-19, 21, the layers are mesh so that there are spaces between the fibers and the "sharp edges without ravel" would only be a problem if there were free ends of the fibers to unravel. Therefore Examiner asserts the welds are applied to individually spaced fibers of each of the mesh layers. Regarding Claim 17, 20, 22, "adjacent" is a broad term and either embodiment discussed meets the claim limitations (Zotti; Paragraphs 41-42). Regarding Claims 19, 21, Zotti teaches of the first and second mesh layers are connected only on a common side (Fig 2).

Regarding Claim 10, Trabucco in view of Zotti and Kurtz disclose all of the claimed limitations except for the mesh layers being formed of a laser cut material.

However, laser cutting is a known method of forming the mesh layers.

Therefore, even if "the laser-cut mesh material" results in different structural characteristics of the end product than other molding methods, it still would have been prima facie obvious at the time the invention was made to use a "the laser-cut mesh material" material in since it is recognized as a useful technique for forming the mesh material.

Claim 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Trabucco in view of Zotti, Kurtz and Willberg (DE 198 32 634).

Application/Control Number: 10/599,837

Art Unit: 3773

Trabucco in view of **Zotti**, **Kurtz** disclose all of the claimed limitations except for the device having a coating containing metal. However, **Willberg** teaches of a similar hernia mesh with a coating containing metal (Col 4, Lines 17-28). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of **Zotti** with the coating in order to increase the adhesion factor (Col 4, Lines 17-28).

Regarding Claims 12-13, the coating contains titanium and a change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARK MASHACK whose telephone number is (571)270-3861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,837 Page 6

Art Unit: 3773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark Mashack/ Examiner, Art Unit 3773